arXiv:1610.08849v1 [math.CO] 27 Oct 2016

Prime Labeling of Ladders

Ebrahim Ghorbani [1,2,*] Sara Kamali [3]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, P.O. Box 16315-1618, Tehran, Iran*

[2] *School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran*

[3] *Faculty of Sciences, Farhangian University, Shahid Sherafat Campus, Tehran, Iran*

October 28, 2016

Abstract

A prime labeling of a graph with n vertices is a labeling of its vertices with distinct integers from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ in such a way that the labels of any two adjacent vertices are relatively prime. T. Varkey conjectured that ladder graphs have prime labeling. We prove this conjecture.

Keywords: Prime labeling, Ladder, Coprime graph

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C78

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with n vertices. A *prime labeling* of G is a labeling of its vertices with distinct integers from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ in such a way that the labels of any two adjacent vertices are relatively prime. The *coprime graph* $\mathcal{H}_n$ has vertex set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are relatively prime. So, for an n-vertex graph, having prime labeling is equivalent to being a subgraph of $\mathcal{H}_n$. Many properties of $\mathcal{H}_n$ including identifying its subgraphs were studied by Ahlswede and Khachatrian [1, 2, 3], Erdős [5, 6, 7], Erdős, Sárközy, and Szemerédi [9, 10], Szabó and Tóth [17], Erdős and Sárközy [8, 11], and Sárközy [28].

*Corresponding author, E-mail: e_ghorbani@ipm.ir.

The notion of prime labeling originated with Entringer and was introduced in [18]. Entringer around 1980 conjectured that all trees have a prime labeling. Little progress was made on this conjecture until recently where in [13] it is proved that there is an integer n_0 such all trees with at least n_0 vertices have a prime labeling. Beside that, several classes of graphs have been shown to have a prime labeling, see [12] and the aforementioned papers on coprime graphs for more details. One of the graph classes for which the existence of prime labeling is unknown are ladders. Letting P_n denote the path graph on n vertices, the Cartesian product $P_n \times P_2$ is called the *n-ladder graph*. The following conjecture appeared in [19] (see also [4]).

Conjecture. *Ladders have prime labeling.*

We notice that in the survey [12] it is incorrectly stated that this had been proved in [19]. Partial results on this conjecture appeared in [4, 15, 16, 19]. Our goal is to prove the conjecture.

2 Proof of Conjecture

In this section we give a proof for Conjecture. Let's say that a labeled square



fulfils the *cross condition* if the labels of its vertices satisfy

$$\gcd(a,d) = \gcd(b,c) = \gcd(a,c) = \gcd(b,d) = 1.$$

If we have a labeled ladder every square of which fulfils the cross condition, then we can alternately flip the labels of vertical edges, and as a result we obtain a ladder with prime labeling. Therefore, it suffices to prove that ladders have a labeling for which every square fulfils the cross condition. We fix an integer $n \geq 4$, and show that the n-ladder has such a labeling. The assertion for $n \leq 3$ can be verified easily.

For any positive integer k we set

$$a_k := 6k - 3 \quad \text{and} \quad b_k := 6k - 1.$$

We start with the following labeled ladder



where $r = \lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor$ and $s = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$. Our goal is to complete this to a labeled n-ladder in which every square fulfils the cross condition.

For positive integers ℓ satisfying

$$(5 \mid \ell + 3,\ 7 \mid \ell + 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (5 \mid \ell,\ 7 \mid \ell + 3), \tag{1}$$

we define $c_\ell := a_\ell - 2$ and we set

$$C := \{c_\ell : a_\ell - 2 \leq 2n - 1\}.$$

If c_ℓ exists, we 'insert' it between a_ℓ and $a_{\ell+1}$ as follows.



In what follows by *inserting* an integer between two other ones, we mean the same thing as what we did above for c_ℓ and $a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}$. Note that here it is possible that $a_{\ell+1}$ be larger that $2n$ and may not be presented in our labeling. Now, let

$$D := \{6\ell + 1 : 13 \leq 6\ell + 1 \leq 2n - 1\} \setminus C.$$

If $6\ell + 1 \in D$ and ℓ satisfies

$$5 \mid \ell + 1 \quad \text{or} \quad (5 \mid \ell + 3,\ 7 \nmid \ell + 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (7 \mid \ell + 3,\ 5 \nmid \ell,\ 5 \nmid \ell + 2), \tag{2}$$

then we insert $6\ell + 1$ between a_ℓ and $a_{\ell+1}$:



If $6\ell + 1 \in D$ and ℓ does not satisfy (2), then we insert $6\ell + 1$ between b_ℓ and $b_{\ell+1}$. Note that if ℓ satisfies (2), it does not satisfy (1) and so no integer from C is already inserted between a_ℓ and $a_{\ell+1}$. Finally we insert 7 between a_1 and b_1. By now, we have a labeled $(n-1)$-ladder with labels $3, \ldots, 2n$. We will insert 1 in an appropriate place later on.

Next, we list all the possible squares of our labeled ladder and verify if they fulfil the cross condition.



Clearly fulfils the cross condition.



$\gcd(a_k, c_k+1) = \gcd(a_k, a_k-1) = 1,$
$\gcd(c_k, a_k+1) = \gcd(a_k-2, a_k+1) = \gcd(3, 6k-2) = 1.$



$\gcd(c_k, a_{k+1}+1) = \gcd(6k-5, 6k+4) = \gcd(9, 6k+4) = 1,$
$\gcd(a_{k+1}, c_k+1) = \gcd(6k+3, 6k-4) = \gcd(7, k+4) = 1$ (as k satisfies (1)).



$\gcd(6k+1, a_k+1) = \gcd(6k+1, 6k+2) = 1,$
$\gcd(a_k, 6k+2) = \gcd(6k-3, 6k+2) = \gcd(5, k+2) = 1$ (as k satisfies (2)).



$\gcd(6k+1, a_{k+1}+1) = \gcd(6k+1, 6k+4) = \gcd(3, 6k+4) = 1,$
$\gcd(a_{k+1}, 6k+2) = \gcd(6k+3, 6k+2) = 1.$



Clearly fulfils the cross condition.



$\gcd(6k+1, b_k+1) = \gcd(6k+1, 6k) = 1,$
$\gcd(b_k, 6k+2) = \gcd(6k-1, 6k+2) = 1.$



$\gcd(b_{k+1}, 6k+2) = \gcd(6k+5, 6k+2) = 1,$
$\gcd(6k+1, b_{k+1}+1) = \gcd(6k+1, 6k+6)$
$= \gcd(5, k+1) = 1$ (as k does not satisfy (2)).

It remains to consider the following two possible squares





which we call them a_k-*square* and b_k-*square*, respectively. It turns out that in some situations these two do not fulfil the cross condition, in which cases we need to replace some of the labels already assigned. These two types of squares will be handled in the following subsections.

2.1 a_k-squares

2.1.1 a_k-squares with $7 \nmid k+3$ or $5 \nmid k+2$

We show that in this case, a_k-squares fulfil the cross condition. Set

$$d_1 := \gcd(a_k, a_{k+1}+1) = \gcd(6k-3, 6k+4) = \gcd(7, k+3),$$
$$d_2 := \gcd(a_{k+1}, a_k+1) = \gcd(6k+3, 6k-2) = \gcd(5, k+3).$$

We have $7 \nmid k+3$ or $5 \nmid k+2$. First suppose that $7 \nmid k+3$. So $d_1 = 1$. For a contradiction, assume that $d_2 > 1$, and so $5 \mid k+3$. If it happens that $6k+1 = c_{k+1} \in C$, then $\ell = k+1$ satisfies (1), so $5 \mid k+4$ or $5 \mid k+1$ which is impossible. In addition, $6k+1 \neq 7$. It follows that $6k+1 \in D$. If $7 \nmid k+1$, then $\ell = k$ satisfies (2), so $6k+1$ must be already between a_k and a_{k+1}, a contradiction. If $7 \mid k+1$, then $\ell = k$ satisfies (1), so c_k exists and must be already between a_k and a_{k+1}, again a contradiction. It follows that $d_2 = 1$.

Now assume that $7 \mid k+3$ and $5 \nmid k+2$. Again $6k+1 \in D$, since otherwise $6k+1 = c_{k+1} \in C$ which means that $\ell = k+1$ satisfies (1), hence $7 \mid k+2$ or $7 \mid k+4$ which is impossible. We also have $5 \nmid k$, since otherwise c_k exists, so it must had been placed between a_k and a_{k+1}, a contradiction. It turns out that $\ell = k$ satisfies (2), so $6k+1$ must be already between a_k and a_{k+1}, again a contradiction.

2.1.2 a_k-squares with $7 \mid k+3$ and $5 \mid k+2$

In this case we have $k = 35q-17$ for some positive integer q. Let $m = a_k = 210q-105$. It turns out that in this case a_k-square do not fulfil the cross condition. To overcome this obstacle, we exchange some of the labels around a_k.

For $k = 35q-17$, it can be easily seen that c_{k+1} does not exist and $6(k+1)+1 = 210q-95$ belongs to D and $\ell = k+1$ satisfies (2). Hence $210q-95$ is already inserted between a_{k+1} and a_{k+2}.

Case 1. $11 \nmid m$ and $11m > 2n$.

- If $210q-94 \leq 2n$, then we change the original labeling



to the following



This changes the labeling of three consecutive squares above and as a result all three new squares fulfil the cross condition.

- If $210q - 94 > 2n$, then we change the labeling as follows:



Case 2. $11 \nmid m$ and $11m < 2n$.

Let t be the maximum integer with $11^t m < 2n$.

- If $11 \nmid m-1$, then we change the original labeling



to the following:



- If $11 \mid m-1$, then we change the original labeling



to the following:



Case 3. $11 \mid m$.

As $11 \mid m$, it follows that there are integers s, q' such that $m = 11^s(210q' - 105)$ where $11 \nmid 210q' - 105$. So as we did in Case 2, m and $m-1$ has been already replaced to elsewhere. Hence we can change the labels as follows:



2.2 b_k-squares

2.2.1 b_k-squares with $7 \nmid k+1$ or $5 \nmid k+1$

We show that in this case b_k-squares fulfil the cross condition. Set

$$d_1 := \gcd(b_{k+1}, b_k + 1) = \gcd(5, k),$$
$$d_2 := \gcd(b_k, b_{k+1} + 1) = \gcd(7, k+1).$$

For a contradiction, suppose that $d_1 > 1$, so $5 \mid k$. We have $6k+1 \in D$ since otherwise, as $6k+1 \neq 7$, we have $6k+1 = c_{k+1}$ and so $\ell = k+1$ must satisfy (1) which is impossible. As $\ell = k$ does not satisfy (2), $6k+1$ must had been inserted between b_k and b_{k+1}, a contradiction. Therefore, $d_1 = 1$.

If $7 \nmid k+1$, then $d_2 = 1$. Assume that $7 \mid k+1$ and $5 \nmid k+1$. Since $\ell = k+1$ does not satisfy (1), we have necessarily $6k+1 \in D$. As $5 \nmid k+1$, $\ell = k$ does not satisfy (2), and so $6k+1$ is already inserted between b_k and b_{k+1}, a contradiction.

2.2.2 b_k-squares with $7 \mid k+1$ and $5 \mid k+1$

In this case we have $k = 35q - 1$ for some positive integer q. Let $m = b_{k+1} + 1 = 210q$. It turns out that in this case b_k-squares do not fulfil the cross condition and as before we exchange some of the labels around b_k.

Case 1. $11 \nmid m$ and $11m \geq 2n$.

We change the original labeling



to the following



Case 2. $11 \nmid m$ and $11m < 2n$.

Let t be the maximum integer with $11^t m < 2n$.

- If $11 \nmid m+1$, then we change the original labeling



to the following:



- If $11 \mid m+1$, then we change the original labeling



to the following:



Case 3. $11 \mid m$.

- If $m < 2n$, then $m = 11^s(210q')$ for some positive integers s, q' with $11 \nmid 210q'$. So as we did in Case 2, m and $m+1$ has been already replaced to elsewhere. Hence we can change the labels as follows:



- If $m = 2n$, then we insert 1 between b_k and b_{k+1}:



Finally if the labels 1 and 2 have not been used, we may insert 1 between any two vertices.

Acknowledgments

The research of the author was in part supported by a grant from IPM.

References

[1] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, On extremal sets without coprimes, *Acta Arith.* **66** (1994), 89–99.

[2] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, Maximal sets of numbers not containing $k+1$ pairwise coprime integers, *Acta Arith.* **72** (1995), 77–100.

[3] R. Ahlswede and L.H. Khachatrian, Sets of integers and quasi-integers with pairwise common divisor, *Acta Arith.* **74** (1996), 141–153.

[4] A.H. Berliner, N. Dean, J. Hook, A. Marr, A. Mbirika, and C.D. McBee, Coprime and prime labelings of graphs, *J. Integer Seq.* **19** (2016), Article 16.5.8, 14 pp.

[5] P. Erdős, Remarks on number theory. IV. Extremal problems in number theory. I, *Mat. Lapok* **13** (1962), 228–255.

[6] P. Erdős, A survey of problems in combinatorial number theory, *Ann. Discrete Math.* **6** (1980), 89–115.

[7] P. Erdős, Some of my new and almost new problems and results in combinatorial number theory, In *Number theory (Eger,* 1996*)*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998, pp. 169–180.

[8] P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, On sets of coprime integers in intervals, *Hardy-Ramanujan J.* **16** (1993), 1–20.

[9] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, On some extremal properties of sequences of integers, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math.* **12** (1969), 131–135.

[10] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, On some extremal properties of sequences of integers. II, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **27** (1980), 117–125.

[11] P. Erdős and G.N. Sárközy, On cycles in the coprime graph of integers, *Electronic J. Combin.* **4** (1997), #R8, 11 pp.

[12] J.A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Electron. J. Combin.* #DS6, 389 pp.

[13] P. Haxell, O. Pikhurko, and A. Taraz, Primality of trees, *J. Combinatorics* **2** (2011), 481–500.

[14] G.N. Sárközy, Complete tripartite subgraphs in the coprime graph of integers, *Discrete Math.* **202** (1999), 227–238.

[15] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj, and S. Somasundaram, On a prime labeling conjecture, *Ars Combin.* **79** (2006), 205–209.

[16] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj, and S. Somasundaram, A note on prime labeling of ladders, *Acta Cienc. Indica Math.* **33** (2007), 471–477.

[17] C. Szabó and G. Tóth, Maximal sequences not containing four pairwise coprime integers, *Mat. Lapok* **32** (1985), 253–257.

[18] A. Tout, A.N. Dabboucy, and K. Howalla, Prime labeling of graphs, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters* **11** (1982), 365–368.

[19] T. Varkey, About prime labelings of graphs, preprint.